

To Members of the Planning Committee

Cllr. Lee Breckon JP (Chairman)
Cllr. Terry Richardson (Vice-Chair)

Cllr. Tony Deakin
Cllr. Roy Denney
Cllr. Helen Gambardella

Cllr. Richard Holdridge
Cllr. Mark Jackson
Cllr. Les Phillimore

Cllr. Bob Waterton

Dear Councillor,

A meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** will be held in the Council Chamber - Council Offices, Narborough on **THURSDAY, 11 JUNE 2026** at **4.30 p.m.**. Please find attached a supplemental item that is required for the meeting and are in addition to the agenda and report pack that has already been circulated.

Yours faithfully



Gemma Dennis
Corporate Services Group Manager

SUPPLEMENTAL ITEMS

8. 25/0999/FUL - Land to North of Cemetery, Forest Road, Huncote (Pages 3 - 4)



This page is intentionally left blank

Planning Committee 11th June 2026

SUMMARY OF LATE COMMENTS/REPORT UPDATE

The aim of this report is to seek to avoid the need for lengthy verbal updates that Planning Officers have sometimes needed to provide in the past at the Planning Committee. In consultation with the Chair, it has been decided that on the evening before committee a summary of all the late comments/representations received so far will be emailed to the Committee Members by the Democratic Services Team.

It is possible that verbal updates will still be required at the meeting as sometimes comments are received at the last minute or Officers may wish to amend their recommendations: however Officers will seek to keep verbal updates to a minimum.

At the meeting Officers will only refer briefly to any key points of the case in the summary that has been emailed, as well as providing the usual verbal update for any additional last minute items.

If Members have any queries about the comments or the application itself please feel free to contact the relevant case officer given beneath the title of each summary below.

APPLICATION: 24/0999/FUL

CASE OFFICER: Molly Wright

1. SOURCE OF COMMENTS: LCC obligations and updated archaeological information

DATE RECEIVED: 04.06.26

SUMMARY:

The Local Highway Authority has confirmed that, in the event of an approval, planning obligations would be required to secure sustainable transport measures, including the provision of bus passes and travel packs for future occupiers.

The applicant submitted 'Assessment of Ridge and Furrow Earthworks at Forest Road, Huncote' on 19th May 2026. This document concludes that the significance of the earthworks should be downgraded from medium (regional importance) to low (local importance).

OFFICER COMMENTS:

Obligations

The committee report references the need for planning obligations in relation to highway matters. For completeness, it is noted that the Local Highway Authority has identified bus passes and travel packs as appropriate measures, which would be secured through a planning obligation in the event that planning permission were granted.

For clarity, a summary of developer contributions is outlined below:

Infrastructure Category	Amount
Leicestershire Police	£18,025.18
Leicester, Leicestershire & Rutland Integrated Care Board	£45,496.00
Leicestershire County Council, Highways	New residents to be issued with a Sustainable Travel Pack and six-month bus passes (two per dwelling).
Leicestershire County Council, Planning Obligations	No contributions necessary for this development in respect of education (including early years), libraries and civic amenities.
Blaby District Council, Neighbourhood Services	If the applicant wishes to use the District waste collection services, a cost of £49.00 per household will be sought for recycling and refuse.

Archaeology

Due to the timing of the submission, there has been insufficient opportunity to obtain technical comments from Leicestershire County Council Archaeology and the document has not therefore been considered in the determination of the application.

Notwithstanding this, LCC Archaeology has already assessed the ridge and furrow as being of low significance, and the submitted document does not raise matters which would materially alter that position. It therefore does not affect the overall planning balance or the officer recommendation, and the application is still recommended for refusal on the four grounds outlined at the start of the officer's committee report.